


Date: Sun, 7 Oct 2012 23:29:05 -0400 [10/07/2012 11:29:05 PM EDT]

From: Steve Eisenberg <steve.eisenberg@reunionpower.com>

To: dsaari@town.westborough.ma.us

Subject: See the attached letter


Part(s):  2 DSaari ltr 100612.pdf [application/pdf] 118 KB

Mr. Saari:

The attached letter has been sent to you via US Mail (registered, return receipt) as well.

Regards,

Steven Eisenberg.



REUNION POWER  
October 6, 2012

Mr. Derek Saari  
80 Payson Hill Rd.  
Rindge, NH 03461

Dear Mr. Saari:

We are aware of your recent Letter to the Editor (Rutland Herald) and we decline your invitation.

We made multiple offers to meet with you a few months ago in an attempt to have civil discussions (all offers were declined by you).

Subsequently we learned that you had circulated lengthy inflammatory and accusatory letters among other outrageous communications with various parties.

Given your recent past actions it is quite apparent that the notion of any form of reasonable discussion with you is not possible.

In closing I note that while no contractual relationship exists, rest assured that we will respond with vigor.

Sincerely Yours,

Steven Eisenberg.

PO Box 2049  
82 Elm Street  
Manchester Center, VT 05255  
[www.reunionpower.com](http://www.reunionpower.com)

October 10, 2012

Reunion Power, LLC  
Attn: Mr. Steven I. Eisenberg  
Managing Director  
P.O. Box 2049  
Manchester Center, VT 05255

RE: Reunion Power letter dated October 6, 2012.

Dear Mr. Eisenberg,

I have received your email dated October 7, 2012, which you sent to me at 11:29PM that evening and I was unable to receive this email and your attached letter dated October 6, 2012, until October 9, 2012. It is unfortunate that you declined my invitation to my property. The gathering occurred on October 7, 2012 and was well-represented by the four communities and beyond. It would have been a capitalizing moment for Reunion to provide a status update and to explain how the project would be inherently developed given the challenges these ridges pose to such a large scale industrial project. Even though Reunion was absent from the gathering, the event still provided the general public with a greater insight, which was developed upon their own observations, on just how illusive this proposal is to actually develop. At times, a visual perspective far outweighs a PowerPoint presentation at a college, library, or fire station.

I have invested well over 300 hours of research and writing regarding this project. This is a conservative estimate, as has been the amount of writing that I have actually portrayed to the public. This other research and writing is being obscured until such time as permits are presented to State agencies. The due diligence and absolute attention to detail and facts that I have portrayed completely collides with your statement that reads "*Subsequently we learned that you circulated lengthy inflammatory and accusatory letters among other outrageous communications with various parties*". Never would or have I made any inflammatory, accusatory, or outrageous communications with others orally or written. I have legally backed up every aspect of my writing and possess additional data to further strengthen the already proven factual issues regarding this project. Most of what I have ever received by Reunion are statements with no data to support the actual statement. This is not allowed in my profession and when you are dealing with landowner's title extreme diligence must be adhered to.

Reunion makes this statement about me but also declines to attend the gathering and vigorously defend this project. From a business aspect, I just don't understand the strategy. I believe in persistence and in getting the facts out to the communities. With that said, I have copied the Select Boards in the four communities of your letter and this letter, with the proposal, that Reunion be willing to set up a time to discuss my past reports and writings and that one of these communities, provide the public forum setting for such a meeting. Reunion is correct in stating that we have no contractual relationship and this is not about me, it is about our communities and their ability to be well-informed about the numerous aspects to this project. I am a very civil individual and have taken extreme time to study the facts and I trust that we can have a civil discussion in a public forum. This again, is an excellent opportunity to publically indicate what

Reunion's statements about me are actually founded upon. I keep saying publically, because you are seeking a Certificate of Public Good that affects the public living within these communities. My time is just as important as Reunion's, so please accept this offering to again discuss the facts and I trust, that one of these communities will be more than willing to host the forum. The residents of these communities are starving for factual knowledge and a status update and its Reunion's responsibility to provide such routine business-like opportunities.

Respectfully Submitted,



Derek Saari  
Pittsford Landowner

certified mailing # 7009 2250 0003 3510 4302

- cc. Town of Pittsford Select Board via first class mail & email
- Town of Casteleton Select Board via first class mail & email
- Town of West Rutland Select Board via first class mail & email
- Town of Hubbardton Select Board via first class mail & email